## APPENDIX H

## Chemistry

Sample Closing the Loop

| Outcome 1 | Measureable Criteria | Measurement <br> Tool | Courses | Time Frame |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Utilize knowledge <br> of chemical <br> structure to predict <br> and explain the <br> physical properties <br> of chemical <br> materials. | An average score of at <br> least 80\% or better on <br> homework and 70\% or <br> better on homework and <br> exam questions relating to <br> chemical structure. | Homework, <br> Exams | CHEM 110 <br> CHEM 221 <br> CEEM 222 <br> CHEM 223 | Data collection <br> begins: 2015- <br> 2016 |

## 2015-2016 Results:

| CHEM 221 - FL15 | Average |  | Average |  | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HW Chp. 1 | N/A | HW Chp. 7 | $87 \%$ | Exam 1 | $79 \%$ |
| HW Chp. 2 | $96 \%$ | HW Chp. 8 | $93 \%$ | Exam 2 | $70 \%$ |
| HW Chp. 3 | N/A | HW Chp. 9 | $86 \%$ | Final Exam |  |
| HW Chp. 4 | N/A | HW Chp. 10 | $90 \%$ |  |  |


| CHEM 110 - FL15 | Average |  | Average |  | Average |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HW Chp. 2 | $91 \%$ | HW Chp. 8 | $79 \%$ | Exam 1 | $80 \%$ |
| HW Chp. 3 | $97 \%$ | HW Chp. 17 |  | Exam 2 | $57 \%(\mathrm{n}=1)$ |
| HW Chp. 5 | $84 \%$ | HW Chp. 19 |  | Final Exam |  |
| HW Chp. 6 | N/A | HW Chp. 21 |  |  |  |

## Analysis:

CHEM 221
Homework: 90\%, Exams: 75\%

CHEM 110
Homework: 88\%, Exams: 69\%

## Plan:

I will continue to examine my teaching methodologies and exam and homework questions to improve these numbers.

Further, although students have met my standards, it is difficult to know whether they have met national standards. To compare student achievement in my courses to student achievement in General Chemistry courses nation-wide, I plan to administer an American Chemical Society approved exam for general chemistry at the conclusion of CHEM 223.

| Outcome 1 | Measureable Criteria | Measurement <br> Tool | Courses | Time Frame |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Utilize knowledge <br> of chemical <br> structure to predict | CHEM 110/GS 105/CHEM <br> 221: at least 75\% achieve <br> "emerging proficiency" | Homework, <br> Exams, | GS 105 <br> CHEM 110 <br> CHEM 221 | Data collection <br> begins: WT17 |


| and explain the physical properties of chemical materials. | CHEM 222: at least 75\% achieve "marginal proficiency" <br> CHEM 223: at least 75\% achieve "developed proficiency" <br> CHEM 245/246/247: at least 75\% achieve "exemplary proficiency" | Chemical structure rubric | CHEM 222 <br> CHEM 223 <br> CHEM 245 <br> CHEM 246 <br> CHEM 247 | Analysis begins: SP17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 2016-2017 winter

 Results:| CHEM 246 GOAL: | WT17 RESULTS: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Jeast 75\% of students | $100 \%$ of students <br> ed chieved areiplast <br> Emerging <br> cy exemplafiencry pryficiency Praficiency |  |
| achievxerapleryst Develop |  |  |
| "exemplaticy proficiency |  |  |

Lacks Demon Profici

WINTER 2017
CHEM 110

Rubric View: Chemical Structure Rubric

|  | Exemplary <br> Proficiency <br> (4 pts) | Developed <br> Proficiency <br> (3 pts) | Marginal Profiency (2 pts) | Emerging Proficiency (1 pts) | Lacks <br> Demonstrated Proficiency (0 pts) | Mean | Mode | Stdev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronic <br> Structure | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 1.826 | 2.000 | 0.564 |
| Molecular Geometry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0.870 | 1.000 | 0.337 |
| Spectroscopic Analysis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | NA | 0.000 |
| Electronic Structure std_text | 21 (91\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 (8\%) |  |
| Molecular Geometry std_text | 20 (86\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 (13\%) |  |


| CHEM 110 GOAL: | W1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| At least 75\% of students <br> achieve at least <br> "emerging proficiency" | $\mathbf{8 8}$ <br> ach <br> "er |

Rubric View: Chemical Structure Rubric CHEM 246

|  | Exemplary Proficiency (4 pts) | Developed Proficiency (3 pts) | Marginal Profiency (2 pts) | Emerging Proficiency (1 pts) | Lacks <br> Demonstrated Proficiency (0 pts) | Mean | Mode | Stdev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronic Structure | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 0.000 |
| Molecular Geometry | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 0.000 |
| Spectroscopic Analysis | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 0.000 |
| Electronic <br> Structure <br> std_text | 3 (100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Molecular Geometry std_text | 3 (100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spectroscopic Analysis | 3 (100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rubric View: Chemical Structure Rubric GS 105

| * | Exemplary <br> Proficiency <br> (4 pts) | Developed Proficiency (3 pts) | Marginal <br> Profiency <br> (2 pts) | Emerging Proficiency (1 pts) | Lacks Demonstrated Proficiency (0 pts) | Mean | Mode | Stdev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronic Structure | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.895 | 3.000 | 0.307 |
| Molecular Geometry | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 1.895 | 2.000 | 0.307 |
| Spectroscopic Analysis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | NA | 0.000 |
| Electronic <br> Structure std_text | 17 (89\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 (10\%) |  |
| Molecular Geometry std_text | 17 (89\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 (10\%) |  |
| Spectroscopic <br> Analysis <br> std_text |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

RESULTS: $100 \%$ of students in both CHEM 246 and GS 105 achieved the desired level of performance in the categories of chemical structure. 88.5\% of students in CHEM 110 achieved the desired level of performance with regards to chemical structure.

ANALYSIS: Although a majority of students scored at the desired level of performance in this exercise, I believe that there is more work to be done. I do believe that these data reflect the true abilities of my students in this category, as I have been sufficiently impressed with their understanding of chemical structure. However, the data seem to indicate that nearly all of the students in the course are achieving at the same level; I do not necessarily believe this result. I think that the problem lies within the chemical structure rubric; if it were designed more carefully, it could be used to investigate these differences in abilities between students in the same course, even if they are achieving at the desired performance level.

PLAN: This initial assessment is promising, but I believe that students can perform even better in this area. I will take another look at the "chemical structure rubric" to see if I can change the wording of each category to better match student performance and to better tease out small differences in performance among students in the same course. Another possibility is to increase the measurable criteria for this outcome; rather than expecting $75 \%$ to perform better than "marginal proficiency", perhaps I should expect $75 \%$ to perform at or better than "developed proficiency".

